IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated:- 22.08.2006

Coram:-

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.SATHASIVAM
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.MANIKUMAR

Habeas Corpus Petition No.573 of 2006

Muthu ... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State, rep. by the Secretary to Government,
Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai, Egmore,Chennai-600 008. .. Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus to direct the respondents to produce the detenu Arjunan, son of Muthu, who is now detained in Central Prison, Chennai in pursuance of the order passed by the second respondent on 31.03.2006 in Memo No.76/BDFGISV/2006 before this Court, call for the records, set aside the order and set the detenu at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Swamidoss Manokaran
For Respondents : Mr.M.Babu Muthu Meeran
Addl. Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

WEB COPY

(Order of the Court was made by P.SATHASIVAM,J.)

The petitioner, who is the father of the detenu by name Arjunan, who is detained as a ''Goonda" as contemplated under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982), by the impugned detention order dated 31.03.2006, challenges the same in this Petition.

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondents.

3. At the foremost, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is enormous delay in disposal of the representation of the detenu, which vitiates the ultimate order of detention. With reference to the above claim, learned Additional Public Prosecutor has placed the details, which show that the representation of the detenu dated 12.04.2006 was received by the Government on 20.04.2006 and the remarks were received by the Government on the same day i.e. on 20.04.2006 and the File was submitted on 21.04.2006 and the same was dealt with by the Under Secretary and Deputy Secretary on the same day i.e. on 21.04.2006 and finally, the Minister for Prohibition and Excise passed orders on 24.04.2006. The rejection letter was prepared on 10.05.2006 and the same was sent to the detenu on 11.05.2006 and served to him on 12.05.2006. As rightly pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner, though the Minister for Prohibition and Excise passed an order on 24.04.2006, there is no explanation at all for taking time for preparation of rejection letter till 10.05.2006. In the absence of any explanation by the person concerned even after excluding the intervening holidays, we are of the view that the time taken for preparation of rejection letter is on the higher side and we hold that the said delay has prejudiced the detenu in disposal of his representation. On this ground, we quash the impugned order of detention.

4. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned order of detention is set aside. The detenu is directed to be set at liberty forthwith from the custody unless he is required in some other case or cause.

raa

Sd/
Asst.Registrar

WEB COPY

/true copy/

Sub Asst.Registrar

To

1. The Secretary to Government, State of Tamil Nadu, Prohibition and Excise Department, Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

2.The Commissioner of Police, Greater Chennai, Egmore, Chennai- 600 008.

3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Chennai.
(In duplicate for communication to detenu)

4. The Joint Secretary to Government, Public (Law and Order)
Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

5. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

HCP. No.573 of 2006

AK(CO)
RVL 29.08.2006

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/